# **Application 10/666,227**

# Attorney Docket 2002P15657US01 (1009-040)

### IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant(s)

Poerner, Colleen

Application

10/666,227

Confirmation

8462

Filed

18 September 2003

**Application Title** 

System and Method for Navigating an HMI

Art Unit

2178

Latest Examiner

Termanini, Samir

# Mail Stop Appeal Brief-Patents

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

#### **REPLY BRIEF**

Sir:

The Applicant respectfully submits this Reply Brief in response to the Examiner's Answer of 13 October 2009 (the "Answer"), the two month shortened statutory period for responding to which expires on 13 December 2009. The Examiner's Answer was submitted in response to the Appeal Brief filed by Applicant under 37 CFR § 41.37 on 23 December 2008 (the "Appeal Brief"). The Appeal Brief was filed in response to the Office Action of 10 July 2008 finally rejecting each of the pending claims 1-41, all of which claims have been twice rejected.

### **ARGUMENTS**

This Reply Brief incorporates by reference the entirety of the Appeal Brief, and offers the following in reply to the Examiner's Answer.

### The Obviousness Rejections

Each of independent claims 1, 33, 34, and 40, from one of which each of claims 2-32, 35-39, and 41 ultimately depends states, *inter alia*, yet no substantial evidence has been presented that the applied portions of the cited references teach (i.e., disclose and/or enable), alone or in combination, "HMI screen nodes". Instead the Answer attempts to equate the various "icons" of Kodosky with the claimed "HMI screen nodes". A person having ordinary skill in the art would know, especially when read in light of at least paragraphs 10, 11, and 16 of the specification, that an "HMI screen node" is a "visual display" of "a human machine interface" and not an "icon" representing a "program" or "device", as in Kodosky.

Furthermore, each of independent claims 1, 33, 34, and 40, from one of which each of claims 2-32, 35-39, and 41 ultimately depends states, *inter alia*, yet no substantial evidence has been presented that the applied portions of the cited references teach (i.e., disclose and/or enable), alone or in combination, "responsive to a detected collision between a parent node of said linked hierarchically organized plurality of HMI screen nodes and a child node of a plurality of child nodes of said parent node, automatically recursively adjust a position of said parent node". Instead, the Answer attempts to equate the "drag and drop" of Kodosky with "responsive to a detected collision" while failing to provide evidence that any action to "adjust a position of said parent node" is performed "responsive to a detected collision".

Thus, even if there were proper evidence of obviousness presented in the Answer (an assumption that is respectfully traversed), and even if there were a reasonable expectation of success in combining or modifying the applied portions of the references relied upon in the Answer (another assumption that is respectfully traversed), no substantial evidence has been presented the applied portions of the references relied upon in the Answer, as attempted to be modified and/or combined, expressly or inherently teach **every** limitation of the independent

**Application 10/666,227** 

## Attorney Docket 2002P15657US01 (1009-040)

claims, and consequently the Answer fails to establish a *prima facie* case of obviousness.

Consequently, for at least the reasons mentioned above, reversal of these rejections is respectfully requested.

### **SUMMARY**

In view of the above, Applicant therefore respectfully requests that the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences reverse the rejections of claims 1-41.

The Office is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees or credit any overpayments under 37 C.F.R. §1.16 or §1.17 to Deposit Account No. 19-2179. The Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned at 434-972-9988 to discuss any matter regarding this application.

Respectfully submitted,

November 30, 2009

Jose de la Rosa Reg. No. 34,810 Attorney for Applicants

Tel.: (770) 751-2204

Siemens Corporation Intellectual Property Department 170 Wood Avenue South Iselin, NJ 08830